| Case 8 | j24-cv-00409-JLS-DFM Document 39 F | Filed 06/05/24 Page 1 of 7 Page ID #:365 | | | |
|--------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | SCOTT L. HULTNER , et al. | Casa No. 2,24 av 00400 H S DEM | | | |
| 10 | SCOTT E. HOLTNER, et al. | Case No.: 8:24-cv-00409-JLS-DFM | | | |
| 11 | Plaintiff(s), | ORDER SETTING SCHEDULING CONFERENCE FOR AUGUST 9, | | | |
| 12 | V. | 2024 AT 10:30 AM, COURTROOM 8A, FIRST STREET | | | |
| 13 | AIR AND LIQUID SYSTEMS | COURTHOUSE | | | |
| 14 | CORPORATION, et al. | | | | |
| 15 | Defendant(s). | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | Judge Staton's Procedures web page is incorporated in this Order. | | | | |
| 19 | The parties and counsel are ORDERED to review and comply with those | | | | |
| 20 | procedures and notices, which may be accessed at: | | | | |
| 21 | http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-josephine-l-staton. | | | | |
| 22 | This case has been assigned to Judge Josephine L. Staton. If plaintiff has | | | | |
| 23 | not already served the complaint (or any amendment thereto) on all defendants, | | | | |
| 24 | plaintiff shall promptly do so and shall file proofs of service within three (3) days | | | | |
| 25 | thereafter. Defendants also shall timely serve and file their responsive pleadings | | | | |
| 26 | and file proofs of service within three days thereafter. | | | | |
| 27 | This case is set for a scheduling conference under Federal Rule of Civil | | | | |
| 28 | Procedure 16(b) on the date and time stated in the caption of this Order, in | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Report, the scheduling conference will be vacated.

Courtroom 8A of the First Street Courthouse, 350 West 1st Street, Los Angeles, California. Unless excused for good cause shown in advance of the scheduling conference, lead counsel shall appear at the scheduling conference and at all pretrial hearings fully informed concerning the facts of the case. If the Court determines that a Scheduling Order can be issued based on the Joint Rule 26(f)

Attached to this Order, as Exhibit A, are the Court's presumptive dates.

Parties wishing to deviate from this schedule shall provide the Court with reasons for each suggested change. A Joint Rule 26(f) Report that is filed without a fully completed Exhibit A will be rejected by the Court and may subject the parties to sanctions.

In civil cases, the Court defers setting a trial date and an exhibit conference date until the parties appear for the Final Pretrial Conference. The parties are expected to address these issues at the Final Pretrial Conference; therefore, the parties are directed to confer before the Final Pretrial Conference to identify mutually agreeable trial date(s) within the 90 days following the Final Pretrial Conference. Where the Court's trial calendar permits, the Court will set the trial for a date agreed upon by the parties.

1. Joint Rule 26(f) Report

As provided in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), the parties shall meet at least 21 days before the scheduling conference and file a Joint 26(f) Report ("Report") no later than 14 days before the date set for the scheduling conference. The Report shall be drafted by plaintiff (unless the parties agree otherwise), but shall be submitted and signed jointly. "Jointly" contemplates a single report, regardless of how many separately represented parties there are.

24

25

26

27

completion of fact discovery.

discovery should be made, issues related to protective orders, and any other Rule

16(b) or 16(c) issues. The parties must propose a discovery cutoff date for the

- **i. Expert Discovery:** The parties shall discuss the timing of expert disclosures and discovery. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2); Local Rule 26-1(f).
- **j. Dispositive motions:** The parties shall set forth a description of the issues or claims that any party believes may be determined by motion for summary judgment or partial summary judgment. *See* Local Rule 26-1(b).
- k. Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") Procedure Selection:
 The parties must select *either* ADR Procedure No. 2 (Court Mediation Panel) *or*ADR Procedure No. 3 (private mediation); ADR Procedure No. 1 (conference with the magistrate judge) *may not* be selected by the parties. *See generally* General Order 11-10, § 5.1; Local Rule 26-1(c). For more information about the Court's ADR Program, please visit the "ADR" section of the Court website, http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov.
- *l.* **Settlement Efforts:** Without disclosing the substance of the communications, the parties shall advise the Court regarding whether they have discussed settlement or had written communications regarding settlement. The parties are advised that no case will proceed to trial unless all parties, including the principals of all corporate parties, have appeared personally at a mediation.
- m. Preliminary Trial Estimate: The parties must provide a realistic estimate of the time required for trial. *See* Local Rule 26-1(d). The parties' estimate is for planning purposes only; the Court may allot fewer days for trial. The parties shall specify whether trial will be by jury or to the Court, and each side must specify the number of witnesses it expects to call.
- **n. Trial counsel:** the name(s) of the attorney(s) who will try the case.
- o. Independent Expert or Master: The parties must advise the Court whether this is a case in which a master pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53 or an independent scientific expert should be appointed. The appointment of a master may be appropriate if there are likely to be substantial

| Case 8 | 24-cv-00409-JLS-DFM Document 39 Filed 06/05/24 Page 5 of 7 Page ID #:369 | | | |
|--------|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | discovery disputes, numerous claims to be construed in connection with a summary | | | |
| 2 | judgment motion, a lengthy <i>Daubert</i> hearing, or resolution of a difficult | | | |
| 3 | computation of damages. | | | |
| 4 | p. Other issues: a statement of any other issues affecting the status | | | |
| 5 | or management of the case (e.g., unusually complicated technical or technological | | | |
| 6 | issues, disputes over protective orders, extraordinarily voluminous document | | | |
| 7 | production, non-English speaking witnesses, discovery in foreign jurisdictions, etc.) | | | |
| 8 | and any proposals concerning severance, bifurcation, or other ordering of proof. | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | 2. Notice to be Provided by Counsel | | | |
| 11 | Plaintiff's counsel shall provide this Order to any parties who first appear | | | |
| 12 | after the date of this Order and to parties who are known to exist but have not yet | | | |
| 13 | entered appearances. If plaintiff is appearing pro se, but defendant is represented | | | |
| 14 | by counsel, defendant's counsel shall provide this notice. | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | 3. Disclosures to Clients | | | |
| 17 | Counsel are ordered to deliver to their respective clients a copy of this Order, | | | |
| 18 | the Court's trial order, and the Scheduling order. | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | 4. Class Actions | | | |
| 21 | The parties are directed to the portion of Judge Staton's Procedures web page | | | |
| 22 | (http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-josephine-l-staton.) regarding class action | | | |
| 23 | scheduling issues. As explained in further detail on the web page, the parties are | | | |
| 24 | directed to discuss class action scheduling issues in their Joint Rule 26(f) Report. | | | |
| 25 | | | | |

5. Utility Patent Cases

a. Presumptive Schedule and Exhibit B: In patent cases, the Court intends generally to follow the Northern District of California Patent Local Rules.

| Case 8: 1 | 24-cv-00409-JLS-DFM Document 39 Filed 06/05/24 Page 6 of 7 Page ID #:370 However, the Court's presumptive schedule differs from that set forth in the | | | | |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Northern District Rules and, where it does, the Court's presumptive schedule | | | | |
| 3 | controls. In patent cases, counsel should review, prepare, and attach the Court's | | | | |
| 4 | Joint Rule 26(f) Report utility patent-specific Exhibit B (in lieu of the Exhibit A). | | | | |
| 5 | Exhibit B is available on Judge Staton's Procedures web page. | | | | |
| 6 | b. Technology Tutorial: Because the Court may be unfamiliar with | | | | |
| 7 | the technology underlying many litigated patents, the parties should consider the | | | | |
| 8 | most efficient and effective manner in which to educate the Court regarding that | | | | |
| 9 | technology. Counsel must file a joint status report setting forth their proposal(s) no | | | | |
| 10 | later than 60 days in advance of the claim construction hearing. If the Court deems | | | | |
| 11 | it appropriate, the Court will schedule an in-Court technology tutorial or make other | | | | |
| 12 | appropriate orders. Counsel are strongly encouraged to present any educational | | | | |
| 13 | materials in a manner that is free of advocacy and unencumbered by each side's | | | | |
| 14 | respective litigation position(s). | | | | |
| 15 | The Court thanks the parties and their counsel for their anticipated cooperation | | | | |
| 16 | in complying with the requirements set forth in this Order. | | | | |
| 17 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | | | |
| 18 19 | Dated: June 5, 2024 JOSEPHINE L. STATON United States District Judge | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | Revised: September 12, 2023 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |

PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF PRETRIAL AND TRIAL DATES

CASE NAME: CASE NO:

| Matter | Deadline | Plaintiff(s) Request | Defendant(s) Request |
|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Last Day to File Motions to Add Parties and Amend Pleadings | Scheduling Conference Date plus 8 weeks | | |
| Fact Discovery Cut-Off | Depending on case complexity, generally a Friday between 6-9 months after the Scheduling Conference | | |
| Last Day to Serve Initial Expert Reports | 2 weeks after Fact Discovery Cut-Off | | |
| Last Day to File Motions (except <i>Daubert</i> and all other Motions in Limine) | 2 weeks after Fact Discovery Cut-Off | | |
| Last Day to Serve Rebuttal Expert Reports | 6 weeks after Fact Discovery Cut-Off | | |
| Last Day to Conduct Settlement Proceedings | 9 weeks after Fact Discovery Cut-Off | | |
| Expert Discovery Cut-Off | 10 weeks after Fact Discovery Cut-Off | | |
| Last Day to File <i>Daubert</i> Motions: | 1 week after Expert Discovery Cut-Off | | |
| Last Day to File Motions in Limine: | 4 weeks before the FPTC | | |
| Final Pre-Trial Conference (Friday at 10:30 a.m.) | 22 weeks after Fact Discovery Cut-Off | | |

Revised: September 12, 2023